arXiv:0908.1345v2 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 30 Nov 2009

Nonperturbative Green's function technique for nonequilibrium steady state

Jongbae Hong

Department of Physics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-747, Korea
Max-Planck-Institut für Physik Komplexer Systeme, D-01187 Dresden, Germany

E-mail: `jbhong@snu.ac.kr`

Abstract.

Nonperturbative dynamic theory has a particular advantage in studying the transport in a quantum impurity system in a steady state. Here, we develop a new approach for obtaining the retarded Green's function expressed in resolvent form. We use the Heisenberg picture to facilitate dynamic theory and propose a new systematic method of collecting the basis vectors spanning the Liouville space, which is the most crucial step in obtaining the resolvent Green's function. We obtain all the linearly independent basis vectors for studying the single-impurity Anderson models with one and two reservoirs. The latter is an appropriate model for studying the Kondo phenomenon in a steady state when a bias is applied. This is one of long standing subjects in theoretical condensed matter physics.

PACS numbers: 71.10.-w, 72.15.Qm, 73.23.-b, 73.63.Kv, 71.27.+a

The single-particle Green's function is a basic tool for studying the correlation effects in many-body systems. However, calculation of this function for a strongly correlated system is not usually successful because nonperturbative treatment is generally required. Moreover, the situation becomes even worse for strongly correlated systems under a steady state. Such systems are quantum point contact [1, 2, 3, 4], single electron transistor with a quantum dot [5, 6, 7, 8], and scanning tunneling microscopy on a magnetic atom adsorbed on a metallic surface [9, 10, 11, 12]. These steady state Kondo phenomena remain unexplored because no successful theory, which can handle the nonequilibrium steady state for a quantum impurity system with strong electron correlation, is available.

A well-known formula for a steady current through a small interacting region connected to charge reservoirs having different chemical potentials has been presented more than a decade ago [13, 14]. The formula is given by

$$J = -\frac{e}{\hbar}\int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi}\frac{\Gamma^L(\omega)\Gamma^R(\omega)}{\Gamma^L(\omega)+\Gamma^R(\omega)}\left[f_L(\omega)-f_R(\omega)\right]\mathrm{Im}G^{+}_{dd\sigma}(\omega) \tag{1}$$

for proportional lead functions, i.e., $\Gamma^L(\omega) \propto \Gamma^R(\omega)$, where L and R denote the left and right reservoirs, respectively and $f(\omega)$ denotes the Fermi distribution. The retarded Green's function $G^{+}_{dd\sigma}(\omega)$ for the mediating atom must be obtained for a given bias. However, this steady state Green's function has never been obtained for an atom at which a strong interaction exists.

The purpose of this study is to present a new method of determining the steady state Green's function appearing in Eq. (1). For this purpose, we adopt the resolvent Green's function in the Heisenberg picture [15], which is given by for a fermion system as

$$iG^{+}_{ij\sigma}(z) = \langle c_{i\sigma}|(z\mathbf{I}+i\mathbf{L})^{-1}|c_{j\sigma}\rangle, \tag{2}$$

where $z = -i\omega + \eta$ and $c_{i\sigma}$ indicates a fermion of spin σ annihilating at a state or site i. The symbols $\mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{L}$ in Eq. (2) denote the identity operator and the Liouville operator, respectively. The latter is defined by $\mathbf{L}\hat{\mathcal{O}} = [\mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathcal{O}}]$, where $\mathcal{H}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ are the Hamiltonian and an arbitrary operator, respectively. Although we are familiar with the resolvent form in the Schrödinger picture,

$$G^{+}_{ij}(\omega) = \langle\phi_i|(\omega + i\eta - \mathcal{H})^{-1}|\phi_j\rangle, \tag{3}$$

on the basis of the static basis $\{\phi_j | j = 0, 1, \cdots, \infty\}$, we employ Eq. (2) using the operator basis in this study because dynamical approach is more appropriate for handling steady state situations.

Calculating the retarded Green's function in resolvent form starts from ensuring a complete set of basis vectors. A notable point is that the resolvent form does not provide information about the basis vectors. Therefore, the Lanczos algorithm [16, 17] in the Schödinger picture and the projection operator technique [18, 19] in the Heisenberg picture are used to obtain the static and dynamic basis vectors, respectively. These techniques, however, require a heavy work for a nontrivial system because they employ the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization procedure for the linearly independent vectors $\mathcal{H}^n|\phi_0\rangle$ or $\mathbf{L}^n c_{i\sigma}$, where $n = 0, 1, \cdots, \infty$. For this reason, we propose a new methodology that provides a simpler procedure to obtain the basis vectors.

The retarded Green's function $G^+_{dd\sigma}(\omega)$ can be obtained by calculating the matrix inverse $(\mathbf{M}^{-1})_{dd}$, where $\mathbf{M}$ is composed of the elements

$$\mathbf{M}_{ij} = z\delta_{ij} - \langle i\mathbf{L}\hat{e}_j|\hat{e}_i\rangle = z\delta_{ij} + \langle \hat{e}_j|i\mathbf{L}\hat{e}_i\rangle, \tag{4}$$

once a complete basis $\{\hat{e}_\ell|\ell = 1, \cdots, \infty\}$ spanning the Liouville space is given. We choose $\hat{e}_1 = c_{d\sigma}$ to obtain $G^+_{dd\sigma}(\omega)$. The inner product in Eq. (4) is defined by $\langle \hat{e}_k|\hat{e}_\ell\rangle \equiv \langle\{\hat{e}_k, \hat{e}^\dagger_\ell\}\rangle$, where the angular and curly brackets denote statistical average and anticommutator, respectively. We now present a systematic method to collect the basis vectors.

In the previous report [20], we have obtained the basis vectors intuitively. In this study, however, we provide a systematic manner to secure universal validity and extensive applicability. Expressing the resolvent Green's function in a matrix form such as

$$iG^+_{ij\sigma}(z) = (\langle\hat{A}| \;\; \langle\hat{B}|) \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{G}_A & 0 \\ 0 & \widehat{G}_B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |\hat{A}\rangle \\ |\hat{B}\rangle \end{pmatrix} \tag{5}$$

is the most crucial step to obtain the basis vectors in systematic manner. Once we get this form for the Green's function, it is convinced that the Liouville space of $G^+_{ij\sigma}(z)$ will be spanned completely by the linearly independent components of vectors $|\hat{A}\rangle$ and $|\hat{B}\rangle$.

To obtain the matrix product form in Eq. (5), we expand the Green's function operator $\widehat{G} = [(z\mathbf{I} + i\mathbf{L}_I) + i\mathbf{L}_C]^{-1}$, where $\mathbf{L}_I$ and $\mathbf{L}_C$ represent the Liouville operator for the isolated part of the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_I$ and the connecting part $\mathcal{H}_C$, respectivel, in powers of $\mathbf{L}_C$ using the operator identity $(\hat{A} + \hat{B})^{-1} = \hat{A}^{-1} - \hat{A}^{-1}\hat{B}(\hat{A} + \hat{B})^{-1}$. Then, one can express the retarded Green's function as follows:

$$iG^+_{ij\sigma}(z) = \langle c_{i\sigma}|\widehat{G}_I|c_{j\sigma}\rangle - \langle c_{i\sigma}|\widehat{G}_I|i\mathbf{L}_C\widehat{G}_I c_{j\sigma}\rangle + \langle c_{i\sigma}\widehat{G}_I i\mathbf{L}_C|\widehat{G}|i\mathbf{L}_C\widehat{G}_I c_{j\sigma}\rangle, \tag{6}$$

where $\widehat{G}_I \equiv (z\mathbf{I} + i\mathbf{L}_I)^{-1}$. Equation (6) is transformed into a matrix form,

$$iG^+_{ij\sigma}(z) = (\langle c_{i\sigma}| \;\; \langle\Phi_i|)\, \mathsf{G}\, (|c_{j\sigma}\rangle \;\; |\Phi_j\rangle)^T, \tag{7}$$

where $\mathsf{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{G}_I & -\widehat{G}_I \\ 0 & \widehat{G} \end{pmatrix}$, $|\Phi_j\rangle = |i\mathbf{L}_C\widehat{G}_I c_{j\sigma}\rangle$, and the superscript T denotes the transpose. After a similarity transformation that diagonalizes G, Eq. (7) is given by

$$iG^+_{ij\sigma}(z) = (\langle\tilde{c}_{i\sigma}| \;\; \langle\Phi_i|)\, \mathsf{G}_D\, (|\tilde{c}_{j\sigma}\rangle \;\; |\Phi_j\rangle)^T, \tag{8}$$

where $\mathsf{G}_D = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{G}_I & 0 \\ 0 & \widehat{G} \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$|\tilde{c}_{j\sigma}\rangle = |c_{j\sigma}\rangle + (\widehat{G}_I - \widehat{G})^{-1}\widehat{G}_I|\Phi_j\rangle = |c_{j\sigma}\rangle + |\mathbf{L}_C^{-1}(-iz\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{L})\Phi_j\rangle. \tag{9}$$

Equation (8) is the form we want to have and the linearly independent components of vectors $|\tilde{c}_{j\uparrow}\rangle$ and $|\Phi_j\rangle$ completely span the Liouville space of $G^+_{ij\sigma}(\omega)$. In conclusion, the systematic method for collecting the basis vectors involves finding all linearly independent components comprising the vector $|\widetilde{c}_{j\sigma}\rangle$ because $|\Phi_j\rangle$ is contained in it.

We will subsequently demonstrate the determination of the basis vectors with an example. We are interested in a mesoscopic system with a mediating Kondo atom between two metallic reservoirs. This system can be described by a single-impurity Anderson model with two metallic reservoirs, whose Hamiltonian is written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H} = & \sum_{k,\sigma,\alpha=L,R} \epsilon_k c^{\alpha\dagger}_{k\sigma} c^{\alpha}_{k\sigma} + \sum_{\sigma} \epsilon_d c^{\dagger}_{d\sigma} c_{d\sigma} + U n_{d\uparrow} n_{d\downarrow} \\ + & \sum_{k,\sigma,\alpha=L,R} (V_{kd} c^{\dagger}_{d\sigma} c^{\alpha}_{k\sigma} + V^{*}_{kd} c^{\alpha\dagger}_{k\sigma} c_{d\sigma}),\end{aligned}$$

where ϵ_k, ϵ_d, V_{kd}, and U indicate the energies of an electron of momentum k in the lead, the level of a mediating atom, the hybridization between the atom and lead, and the on-site Coulomb repulsion at the atom, respectively. Because the two-reservoir Anderson model is a straightforward extension of the one-reservoir model, we first consider a one-reservoir Anderson model. Its isolated and coupled parts are given by

$$\mathcal{H}_I = \sum_{k,\sigma} \epsilon_k c^{\dagger}_{k\sigma} c_{k\sigma} + \sum_{\sigma} \epsilon_d c^{\dagger}_{d\sigma} c_{d\sigma} + U n_{d\uparrow} n_{d\downarrow}, \tag{10}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_C = \sum_{k,\sigma} (V_{kd} c^{\dagger}_{d\sigma} c_{k\sigma} + V^{*}_{kd} c^{\dagger}_{k\sigma} c_{d\sigma}). \tag{11}$$

We first demonstrate the calculation of $\Phi_d = i\mathbf{L}_C(\mathbf{I} + i\mathbf{L}_I)^{-1} c_{d\uparrow}$ and then $|\widetilde{c}_{d\sigma}\rangle$ for $\mathcal{H}_I$ and $\mathcal{H}_C$ above. One can easily see that $(\mathbf{I} + i\mathbf{L}_I)^{-1} c_{d\uparrow}$ yields only two operators, $c_{d\uparrow}$ and $n_{d\downarrow} c_{d\uparrow}$. Applying $\mathbf{L}_C$ to these operators changes the index $d(k)$ into $k(d)$ in fermion operators and $n_{d\downarrow}$ into $j^{-}_{d\downarrow}$. Therefore, the components of Φ_d are given by

$$\Phi_d = (c_{k\uparrow}, \quad n_{d\downarrow} c_{k\uparrow}, \quad j^{-}_{d\downarrow} c_{d\uparrow}), \tag{12}$$

where $k = 1, 2, \cdots, \infty$ and $j^{-}_{d\downarrow} = i \sum_k (V_{kd} c^{\dagger}_{d\sigma} c_{k\sigma} - V^{*}_{kd} c^{\dagger}_{k\sigma} c_{d\sigma})$. We now focus on the vector $|\widetilde{c}_{d\sigma}\rangle$ in Eq. (9). The operator $\mathbf{L}\Phi_d = [H, c_{k\uparrow}] + [H, n_{d\downarrow} c_{k\uparrow}] + [H, j^{-}_{d\downarrow} c_{d\uparrow}]$ yields $c_{d\uparrow}$ and $c_{k\uparrow}$ from the first commutator, $n_{d\downarrow} c_{d\uparrow}$, $n_{d\downarrow} c_{k\uparrow}$, and $j^{-}_{d\downarrow} c_{k\uparrow}$ from the second commutator, and $j^{-}_{d\downarrow} c_{d\uparrow}$, $j^{-}_{d\downarrow} c_{k\uparrow}$, $j^{+}_{d\downarrow} c_{d\uparrow}$, $j^{-}_{d\downarrow} n_{d\downarrow} c_{d\uparrow}$, and $j^{+}_{d\downarrow} n_{d\uparrow} c_{d\uparrow}$ from the third commutator. The last operator $j^{+}_{d\downarrow} n_{d\uparrow} c_{d\uparrow}$ is a vanishing one, and the operator $j^{-}_{d\downarrow} n_{d\downarrow} c_{d\uparrow}$ is dynamically equivalent to $j^{-}_{d\downarrow} c_{d\uparrow}$. Thus, the linearly independent components of $(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{L})\Phi_d$ are classified into two groups, i.e., one involving $c_{k\uparrow}$ and the other involving $c_{d\uparrow}$. They are

$$(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{L})\Phi^{\mathrm{k}}_d = (c_{k\uparrow}, \quad n_{d\downarrow} c_{k\uparrow}, \quad j^{-}_{d\downarrow} c_{k\uparrow}), \tag{13}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \cdots, \infty$, and

$$(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{L})\Phi^{\mathrm{d}}_d = (c_{d\uparrow}, \quad n_{d\downarrow} c_{d\uparrow}, \quad j^{-}_{d\downarrow} c_{d\uparrow}, \quad j^{+}_{d\downarrow} c_{d\uparrow}). \tag{14}$$

As a last step, we apply $\mathbf{L}^{-1}_C$, which is equivalent to the repeated application of $\mathbf{L}_C$, to the operators in Eqs. (13) and (14). One can easily see that the multiple application of $\mathbf{L}_C$ to $c_{k\uparrow}$, $c_{d\uparrow}$, and $n_{d\uparrow}$ simply reproduces the existing operators and additionally $j^{+}_{d\downarrow} c_{k\uparrow}$.

Remaining linearly independent operators are come from $\mathbf{L}_C^n j_{d\downarrow}^{\mp}$, where $n = 1, 2, \cdots, \infty$. Thus, one may classify the basis vectors into two groups such as

$$\mathbf{L}_C^{-1}(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{L})\Phi_d^{\mathrm{k}} = \{c_{k\uparrow},\quad n_{d\downarrow}c_{k\uparrow},\quad j_{d\downarrow}^{-}c_{k\uparrow},\quad j_{d\downarrow}^{+}c_{k\uparrow},\quad (\mathbf{L}_C^n j_{d\downarrow}^{\mp})c_{k\uparrow}\} \tag{15}$$

and

$$\mathbf{L}_C^{-1}(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{L})\Phi_d^{\mathrm{d}} = \{c_{d\uparrow},\quad n_{d\downarrow}c_{d\uparrow},\quad j_{d\downarrow}^{-}c_{d\uparrow},\quad j_{d\downarrow}^{+}c_{d\uparrow},\quad (\mathbf{L}_C^n j_{d\downarrow}^{\mp})c_{d\uparrow}\}. \tag{16}$$

The operators shown in Eqs. (15) and (16) describe all possible linearly independent manners of annihilating an up-spin at the site, d, of the mediating atom in time t. They completely span the Liouville space of $c_{d\uparrow}(t)$ in other words.

It is important to identify the meaning of the basis vectors $(\mathbf{L}_C^n j_{d\downarrow}^{\mp})c_{k\uparrow}$ and $(\mathbf{L}_C^n j_{d\downarrow}^{\mp})c_{d\uparrow}$ for later discussion. Application of $\mathbf{L}_C$ to $j_{d\downarrow}^{\mp}$ gives rise to

$$\mathbf{L}_C j_{d\downarrow}^{-} = -i\sum_{\mathbf{l}}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}(V_{\mathbf{l}d}V_{\mathbf{k}d}^{*}c_{\mathbf{l}\downarrow}^{\dagger}c_{\mathbf{k}\downarrow} + V_{\mathbf{k}d}V_{\mathbf{l}d}^{*}c_{\mathbf{k}\downarrow}^{\dagger}c_{\mathbf{l}\downarrow}) + 2i\sum_{\mathbf{k}}|V_{\mathbf{k}d}|^2 c_{d\downarrow}^{\dagger}c_{d\downarrow}$$

and

$$\mathbf{L}_C j_{d\downarrow}^{+} = \sum_{\mathbf{l}}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}V_{\mathbf{l}d}V_{\mathbf{k}d}^{*}c_{\mathbf{l}\downarrow}^{\dagger}c_{\mathbf{k}\downarrow} - \sum_{\mathbf{l}}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}V_{\mathbf{l}d}^{*}V_{\mathbf{k}d}c_{\mathbf{k}\downarrow}^{\dagger}c_{\mathbf{l}\downarrow}.$$

These operators represent a round trip of a down-spin electron between the mediating atom and metallic lead. Therefore, $(\mathbf{L}_C^n j_{d\downarrow}^{\mp})$ depicts n-time trip of a down-spin electron between the atom and lead without coming into contact with an up-spin electron at the atom. This hardly happens in reality.

Even though all linearly independent members of a basis of the Liouville space for the single-reservoir Anderson model have been obtained, working with these full members yields unnecessary complication that cannot be easily overcome. One of the advantages of the present method is that the physical meaning of each basis vector is clear. Therefore, it is possible to eliminate some of basis vectors that are unimportant in describing the dynamics of the system at a particular parameter regime. A particular concern must be given to the basis vector $n_{d\downarrow}c_{d\uparrow}$. Since $n_{d\downarrow}^2 = n_{d\downarrow}$, this basis vector involves all higher orders of U-processes. Therefore, one must eliminate $n_{d\downarrow}c_{d\uparrow}$ in studying Kondo regime unless all U-processes are treated exactly. However, $n_{d\downarrow}c_{d\uparrow}$ will be the most important basis vector when we study a small U-regime. As mentioned above, multiple round trips without coming into contact with other spin electron at the mediating atom are practically rare; therefore, one may further eliminate the basis vectors $(\mathbf{L}_C^n j_{d\downarrow}^{\mp})c_{d\uparrow}$. In other words, we assume that the down-spin electron annihilates once it arrives at the mediating atom. This assumption may be valid enough to describe the spin exchange interaction in the Kondo regime.

Now, turn our attention to the basis vectors combined with c_k, i.e., those shown in Eq. (15). This part of the basis vectors is transformed into the self-energy under matrix reduction that is discussed later. We neglect the basis vectors $(\mathbf{L}_C^n j_{d\downarrow}^{\mp})c_{k\uparrow}$ by the same reason neglecting $(\mathbf{L}_C^n j_{d\downarrow}^{\mp})c_{d\uparrow}$ above. We also find that the role of the basis vectors $j_{d\downarrow}^{\mp}c_{k\uparrow}$ is the same as that of $n_{d\downarrow}c_{k\uparrow}$. However, their contribution to constructing self-energy is negligible compared with that of $n_{d\downarrow}c_{k\uparrow}$. Therefore, we further eliminate $j^{\mp}_{d\downarrow}c_{k\uparrow}$. Then, we finally obtain the reduce the Liouville space constructed by the basis vectors

$$(c_{d\uparrow},\ \ j^{-}_{d\downarrow}c_{d\uparrow},\ \ j^{+}_{d\downarrow}c_{d\uparrow})\ \ \text{and}\ \ (c_{k\uparrow},\ \ n_{d\downarrow}c_{k\uparrow}),$$

where $k = 1, 2, \cdots, \infty$ for describing the dynamics of the single-impurity Anderson model in the Kondo regime.

Since the resolvent form of $G^{+}_{dd\uparrow}(z)$ is given by the Laplace transform of the coefficient $a_1(t)$ in the expansion $c_{d\uparrow}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i(t)\hat{e}_i$, where $\hat{e}_1 = c_{d\uparrow}$, one must have an equality $a_1(t) = G^{+}_{dd\uparrow}(t)$. This is valid when all the basis vectors except $c_{d\uparrow}$ are orthogonal to $c_{d\uparrow}$. This orthogonality condition is satisfied by introducing the expression $\delta\hat{A} = \hat{A} - \langle\hat{A}\rangle$ for the operators $n_{d\uparrow}$ and $j^{\mp}_{d\uparrow}$. Thus, we finally obtain the reduced Liouville space spanned by the basis vectors

$$(c_{d\uparrow},\ \ \delta j^{-}_{d\downarrow}c_{d\uparrow},\ \ \delta j^{+}_{d\downarrow}c_{d\uparrow})\ \text{and}\ (c_{k\uparrow},\ \ \delta n_{d\downarrow}c_{k\uparrow}),$$

where $k = 1, 2, \cdots, \infty$, for the one-reservoir Anderson model in the Kondo regime. In practical calculations, we use the normalized forms of the basis vectors. The basis vectors given above are the same as those used in the previous study [20] in which we obtained them intuitively.

The retarded Green's function $G^{+}_{dd\uparrow}(\omega)$ is obtained by calculating the matrix inverse $(\mathbf{M}^{-1})_{dd}$ constructed by the elements of Eq. (4) in terms of the basis given above. Calculating the inverse of an infinite-dimensional matrix $\mathbf{M}$ is a nontrivial problem. For this purpose, we perform matrix reduction by using Löwdin's partitioning technique [21, 22]. One can reduce the infinite dimensional matrix $\mathbf{M}$ to a 3×3 matrix for the basis given above. The reduced $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^{d}_{3\times3}$ is given by

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^{d}_{3\times3} = \mathbf{M}^{d}_{3\times3} - \mathbf{M}_{\infty\times3}(\mathbf{M}^{k}_{\infty\times\infty})^{-1}\mathbf{M}_{3\times\infty}, \tag{17}$$

where $\mathbf{M}^{d}_{3\times3}$ is the part constructed by the basis vectors $(c_{d\uparrow},\ \delta j^{-}_{d\downarrow}c_{d\uparrow},\ \delta j^{+}_{d\downarrow}c_{d\uparrow})$, $\mathbf{M}^{k}_{\infty\times\infty}$ by the basis vectors $(c_{k\uparrow},\ \delta n_{d\downarrow}c_{k\uparrow})$, where $k = 1, 2, \cdots, \infty$, and $\mathbf{M}_{\infty\times3}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{3\times\infty}$ represent two corner parts of the matrix $\mathbf{M}$. The second term of Eq. (17) constructs the self-energy of the retarded Green's function given by $iG^{+}_{dd\uparrow}(\omega) = [(\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^{d}_{3\times3})^{-1}]_{11}$. We will discuss the specific results for the single impurity Anderson model in a separate paper.

The Liouville space for the two-reservoir Anderson model is a straightforward extension of that of the single-reservoir model. The basis vectors are given by

$$(c^{L}_{k\uparrow},\ \delta n_{d\downarrow}c^{L}_{k\uparrow}),\ \text{where}\ \ k = 1, 2, \cdots, \infty,$$

$$(\delta j^{+L}_{d\downarrow}c_{d\uparrow},\ \delta j^{-L}_{d\downarrow}c_{d\uparrow},\ c_{d\uparrow},\ \delta j^{-R}_{d\downarrow}c_{d\uparrow},\ \delta j^{+R}_{d\downarrow}c_{d\uparrow}),\ \ \text{and}$$

$$(\delta n_{d\downarrow}c^{R}_{k\uparrow},\ c^{R}_{k\uparrow}),\ \text{where}\ \ k = 1, 2, \cdots, \infty.$$

The superscripts L and R denote the left and right metallic leads, respectively. This basis is used in explaining the experiments for the steady state Kondo phenomena occurring in quantum point contact or quantum wire[1, 2, 3, 4], single electron transistor[5, 6, 7, 8], and scanning tunneling microscopy[9, 10, 11, 12]. The reduced matrix in this case is a 5×5 matrix, i.e., $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^d_{5\times 5}$, which is given by

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^d_{5\times 5} = \mathbf{M}^d_{5\times 5} - \mathbf{M}^L_{\infty\times 5}(\mathbf{M}^{kL}_{\infty\times\infty})^{-1}\mathbf{M}^L_{5\times\infty} - \mathbf{M}^R_{\infty\times 5}(\mathbf{M}^{kR}_{\infty\times\infty})^{-1}\mathbf{M}^R_{5\times\infty}, \tag{18}$$

where $\mathbf{M}^d_{5\times 5}$ is constructed by the basis vectors

$$(\delta \dot{j}^{+L}_{d\downarrow} c_{d\uparrow}, \quad \delta \dot{j}^{-L}_{d\downarrow} c_{d\uparrow}, \quad c_{d\uparrow}, \quad \delta \dot{j}^{-R}_{d\downarrow} c_{d\uparrow}, \quad \delta \dot{j}^{+R}_{d\downarrow} c_{d\uparrow})$$

and $\mathbf{M}^{kL}_{\infty\times\infty}$ denotes the $\infty \times \infty$ matrix constructed by the basis vectors $(c^L_{k\uparrow},\ \delta n_{d\downarrow} c^L_{k\uparrow})$, where $k = 1, 2, \cdots, \infty$. The second and third terms of Eq. (18) give rise to the self-energy of the retarded Green's function, $iG^+_{dd\uparrow}(\omega) = [(\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^d_{5\times 5})^{-1}]_{33}$, of the two-reservoir Anderson model.

This is the end of formalism for the retarded Green's function via the new approach. However, calculating the matrix elements is another laborious process to obtain the retarded Green's function in a steady state. We present those calculations in a separate work. Even though one calculate the matrix elements, a barrier for the retarded Green's function still remains because the elements of the matrix $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^d_{5\times 5}$ contain the undetermined quantities $\langle n_{d\sigma}\rangle$, $\langle \dot{j}^{-L,R}_{d\sigma}\rangle$, and $\langle \dot{j}^{+L,R}_{d\sigma}\rangle$, which are determined by the retarded Green's function. They are expressed by $G^+_{dd\sigma}(\omega)$ as follows:

$$\langle n_{d\sigma}\rangle = -\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f_L(\omega)\Gamma^L(\omega) + f_R(\omega)\Gamma^R(\omega)}{\Gamma^L(\omega) + \Gamma^R(\omega)} \operatorname{Im} G^+_{dd\sigma}(\omega) d\omega, \tag{19}$$

$$\langle \dot{j}^{-L}_{d\sigma}\rangle = -\frac{1}{\pi}\int \frac{d\omega}{2}[f_L(\omega) - f_R(\omega)]\widetilde{\Gamma}(\omega) \operatorname{Im} G^+_{dd\sigma}(\omega) = -\langle \dot{j}^{-R}_{d\sigma}\rangle, \tag{20}$$

and

$$\langle \dot{j}^{+L(R)}_{d\sigma}\rangle = \int_{\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\pi} f_{L(R)}(\omega)\Gamma^{L(R)}(\omega) \mathrm{Re}\, G^+_{dd\sigma}(\omega), \tag{21}$$

where $\widetilde{\Gamma}(\omega) = \Gamma^L(\omega)\Gamma^R(\omega)/[\Gamma^L(\omega) + \Gamma^R(\omega)]$. The expressions of Eqs. (19) and (20) are valid only when $\Gamma^L(\omega) \propto \Gamma^R(\omega)$. Because of these formal relations, the retarded Green's function and the above quantities must be obtained by running a self-consistent loop

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle n_{d\downarrow}\rangle^{(0)}, \langle \dot{j}^{\mp L,R}_{d\downarrow}\rangle^{(0)} \to G^{+(0)}_{dd\uparrow}(\omega) \to \rho^{(0)}_{\uparrow}(\omega) \to \langle n_{d\uparrow}\rangle^{(0)}, \langle \dot{j}^{\mp L,R}_{d\uparrow}\rangle^{(0)} \to \\ &G^{+(0)}_{dd\downarrow}(\omega) \to \rho^{(0)}_{\downarrow}(\omega) \to \langle n_{d\downarrow}\rangle^{(1)}, \langle \dot{j}^{\mp L,R}_{d\downarrow}\rangle^{(1)} \to G^{+(1)}_{dd\uparrow}(\omega) \to \rho^{(1)}_{\uparrow}(\omega) \to \cdots\end{aligned}$$

in terms of Eqs. (19)-(21) and $iG^+_{dd\sigma}(\omega) = [(\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^d_{5\times 5})^{-1}]_{33}$.

In sum, we have presented a systematic methodology for selecting basis vectors spanning the Liouville space, which is the most crucial step in calculating the retarded Green's function in resolvent form, and have suggested a procedure for calculating the retarded Green's function. The method presented in this study has several advantages: (i) one can find a complete set of basis vectors systematically; (ii) the physical meanings of the basis vectors obtained by this method are very apparent, allowing one to identify and remove the unimportant basis vectors for a particular parameter regime and construct a reduced Liouville space; and (iii) the self-consistent calculation does not require a nonequilibrium density matrix, which makes nonequilibrium problem difficult. As a final comment, we mention on the remaining unknowns in addition to $\langle n_{d\sigma}\rangle$, $\langle j_{d\sigma}^{-L,R}\rangle$, and $\langle j_{d\sigma}^{+L,R}\rangle$ in the matrix elements. These unknowns may be used as free parameters characterizing the system under consideration. In a separate study, we present the spectral function and differential conductance for a specific system showing steady state Kondo phenomenon.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks J Yi and S H Yoon for valuable discussions. This work was supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant funded by the Korean Government (KRF-2007-614-C00005).

References

[1] Cronenwett S M *et al.* 2002 Phys. Rev. Lett. **88** 226805
[2] DiCarlo L *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 036810 (2006).
[3] Sfigakis F *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **100** 026807 (2008).
[4] Sarkozy S. *et al.* Phys. Rev. B **79**, 161307(R) (2009).
[5] Cronenwett S M, Oosterkamp T H and Kouwenhoven L P 1998 Science **281** 540
[6] Kogan A, Amasha S, Goldhaber-Gordon D, Granger G, Kastner M A and Shtrikman H 2004 Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** 166602
[7] Amasha S, Gelfand I J, Kastner M A and Kogan A 2005 Phys. Rev. B **72** 045308
[8] Jespersen T S, Aagesen M, Sørensen C, Lindelof P E and Nygård J 2006 Phys. Rev. B **74** 233304
[9] V. Madhavan, W. Chen, T. Jamneala, M. F. Crommie, N. S. Wingreen, Science **280**, 567 (1998).
[10] H. C. Manoharan, C. P. Lutz, D. M. Eigler, Nature **403**, 512 (2000).
[11] N. Néel, J. Kröger, L. Limot, K. Palotas, W. A. Hofer, R. Berndt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 016801 (2007).
[12] A. F. Otte, M. Ternes, K. V. Bergmann, S. Loth, H. Brune, C. P. Lutz, C. F. Hirjibehedin, A. J. Heinrich, Nat. Phys. **4**, 847 (2008).
[13] Meir Y and Wingreen N S 1992 Phys. Rev. Lett. **68** 2512
[14] Hershfield S, Davies J H and Wilkins J W 1992 Phys. Rev. B **46** 7046
[15] Fulde P 1993 *Electronic Correlations in Molecules and Solids* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag)
[16] Lanczos C 1950 J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand. **45** 255
[17] Dagotto E 1994 Rev. Mod. Phys. **66** 763
[18] Mori H 1965 Prog. Theor. Phys. **33** 423
[19] Zwanzig R 1961 In *Lectures in Theoretical Physics* Vol. 3 (New York: Interscience)
[20] Hong J and Woo W 2007 Phys. Rev. Lett. **99** 196801
[21] Löwdin P O 1962 J. Math. Phys. **3** 969
[22] Mujica V, Kemp M and Ratner M A 1994 J. Chem. Phys. **101** 6849